

## A note on the hereditary properties in the product space

|     |   |
|-----|---|
| 著者  | Eda Katsuya   |
| 雑誌名 | Tsukuba journal of mathematics  |
| 巻   | 4   |
| 号   | 2   |
| ページ | 157-159   |
| 発行年 | 1980-12   |
| URL | <a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2241/7136">http://hdl.handle.net/2241/7136</a> |

## A NOTE ON THE HEREDITARY PROPERTIES IN THE PRODUCT SPACE

By

Katsuya EDA

In this note we shall investigate some hereditary properties of a subspace of a product space.

Let  $X_\alpha$  be a topological space for each  $\alpha \in I$  and  $A$  be a subset of  $I$ .  $p_A$  is the projection:  $\prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha$ , i. e.  $p_A(x)$  is the restricted function of  $x$  whose domain is  $A$ .  $A$  is co-countable if  $I - A$  is countable.

The family of sets is linked if each pair of its members has non-empty intersection. The space has  $(K)$ -property (precaliber  $\aleph_1$ ) (caliber  $\aleph_1$ ), if any uncountable family of non-empty open subsets of  $X$  includes an uncountable subfamily which is linked (has the finite intersection property) (has non-empty intersection). [2]

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X_\alpha$  be second-countable for  $\alpha \in I$  and  $X$  be a subspace of  $\prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$  and  $\phi$  be one of the properties:*

*1) the countable chain condition, 2)  $(K)$ -property, 3) precaliber  $\aleph_1$ , 4) caliber  $\aleph_1$ , 5) the separability, 6) the Lindelöf property.*

*Then,  $X$  satisfies the hereditarily  $\phi$  if and only if for any subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ , there exists co-countable subset  $A$  of  $I$  such that  $p_A''Y$  satisfies  $\phi$ .*

**LEMMA 1.** (N. A. Sanin) [1] *Let  $\Gamma$  be an uncountable set of finite sets, then  $\Gamma$  includes an uncountable subfamily  $\Delta$  which is quasi-disjoint i. e.  $x \cap y \subseteq \cap \Delta$  for each different  $x$  and  $y$  of  $\Delta$ .*

See [1] for the proof.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function whose domain is  $X$  and  $X$  satisfies  $\phi$  in the theorem. Then, the range of  $f$  also satisfies  $\phi$ .*

*Proof.* Easy to check.

Let  $\{V_n^\alpha; n < \omega\}$  be a base of  $X_\alpha$ . Then,  $\{p_A^{-1}V_{n_1}^{\alpha_1} \times \cdots \times V_{n_m}^{\alpha_m}; A = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}, m < \omega\} = \mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}$  is a base of  $\prod_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ . The domain of the basic open set  $V (= p_A^{-1}V_{n_1}^{\alpha_1} \times$

Received November 19, 1979

This research was partially supported by Grand-in-Aid for Co-operative Research, Project No. 434007.

$\cdots \times V_{n_m}^{\alpha_m})$  is  $A$ , which is finite, and is denoted by  $\text{dom } V$ .

LEMMA 3. *Let  $\theta$  be an uncountable subfamily of  $\mathcal{CV}$ . Then,  $\theta$  includes an uncountable subfamily  $\Phi$  which has the following properties:*

- a)  $\{\text{dom } V; V \in \Phi\}$  is quasi-disjoint,
- b)  $p_A''V = p_A''W$  for each  $V, W \in \Phi$ , where  $A = \bigcap \{\text{dom } V; V \in \Phi\}$ .

PROOF. By Lemma 1,  $\theta$  includes an uncountable subfamily  $\theta'$  such that  $\{\text{dom } V; V \in \theta'\}$  is quasi-disjoint.

Let  $A = \bigcap \{\text{dom } V; V \in \theta'\}$ . Then,  $\{p_A''V; V \in \theta'\}$  is countable. Hence, some uncountable subfamily  $\Phi$  of  $\theta'$  has the properties in the lemma.

PROOF OF THEOREM. The necessity is clear and so we shall prove the sufficiency. Suppose that  $X$  does not satisfy the hereditarily  $\psi$ . Then, there exists a subset  $\{x_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\}$  and a family  $\{O_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $x_\alpha \in O_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and

- i)  $x_\alpha \notin O_\beta$  for any  $\beta \neq \alpha$ ,
- ii) for any uncountable subset  $S$  of  $\omega_1$ , there exists a pair  $\alpha, \beta$  of  $S$ ;  
 $O_\alpha \cap O_\beta \cap \{x_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\} = \emptyset$ ,
- iii) for any uncountable subset  $S$  of  $\omega_1$ , there exists a finite subset  $F$  of  $S$ ;  
 $\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} O_\alpha \cap \{x_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\} = \emptyset$ ,
- iv) for any uncountable subset  $S$  of  $\omega_1$ ,  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in S} O_\alpha \cap \{x_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\} = \emptyset$ ,
- v)  $x_\alpha \notin O_\beta$  for any  $\beta > \alpha$ , or
- vi)  $x_\alpha \notin O_\beta$  for any  $\beta < \alpha$ , according to  $\psi$  is 1), 2), 3), 4), 5) or 6), respectively.

We may take the above  $O_\alpha (\alpha < \omega_1)$  from  $\mathcal{CV}$ . By Lemma 3, without a loss of generality we can assume that  $\{O_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\}$  satisfies the conditions a) and b) of Lemma 3.

Now, we apply the assumption and Lemma 2 to  $\{x_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\}$ . Then, there exists a co-countable subset  $A$  of  $I$  such that  $p_A''\{x_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\}$  satisfies  $\psi$  and  $\bigcap \{\text{dom } O_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\} \cap A$  is empty. Since  $\{\text{dom } O_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is quasi-disjoint, we may assume  $\text{dom } O_\alpha - \bigcap \{\text{dom } O_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq A$  for  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . There exists(s)

- i)'  $\alpha$  such that  $p_A(x_\alpha) \in p_A''O_\beta \cap p_A''O_\gamma$  for some  $\beta \neq \gamma$ ,
- ii)' an uncountable subset  $S$  of  $\omega_1$  such that  
 $p_A''O_\alpha \cap p_A''O_\beta \cap p_A''\{x_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\} \neq \emptyset$  for each distinct  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ ,
- iii)' an uncountable subset  $S$  of  $\omega_1$  such that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} p_A''O_\alpha \cap p_A''\{x_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\} \neq \emptyset$

for any finite  $F \subseteq S$ ,

iv)'  $\alpha$  and an uncountable subset  $S$  of  $\omega_1$  such that  $p_A(x_\alpha) \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in S} p_A'' O_\alpha$ ,

v)'  $\alpha$  such that  $p_A(x_\alpha) \in p_A'' O_\beta$  for some  $\beta > \alpha$ , or

vi)'  $\alpha$  such that  $p_A(x_\alpha) \in p_A'' O_\beta$  for some  $\beta < \alpha$ ,

according that  $\phi$  is 1), 2), 3), 4), 5) or 6), respectively.

By the assumption of  $A$  and the fact  $x_\alpha \in O_\alpha$ ,  $p_A(x_\alpha) \in p_A'' O_\beta$  holds if and only if  $x_\alpha \in O_\beta$  holds, for each  $\alpha, \beta$ . So, i)',  $\dots$ , or vi)' contradicts to i),  $\dots$ , or vi) respectively.

Now, the proof is complete.

Since the hereditary separability is equivalent to the hereditary caliber- $\aleph_1$ -property, it is a little interesting to compare the two cases 4) and 5) in the theorem.

### References

- [1] Juhász, I., Cardinal Functions in Topology, Amsterdam (1971).
- [2] Kunen, K. and Tall, F.D., Between Martin's Axiom and Souslin's Hypothesis, Fundamenta Mathematicae CII. 3 (1979).

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Tsukuba  
Sakura-Mura Ibaraki  
305 Japan